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#### Abstract

Objectives: To design a transitional care checklist to be used by and facilitate the work of health professionals in providing transitional care for children with a chronic rheumatologic disease and their families. Methods: A Delphi-like study among an international expert panel was carried out in four steps: (1) a working group of 6 specialists established a draft; (2) a web-survey among a panel of international experts evaluated it; (3) a 2-day consensus conference with an expert panel discussed items not reaching agreement; (4) a web-survey among the panel of international experts with the list of reformulated items. Results: The first draft of the checklist included 38 items in 3 phases of transition and 5 age groups. Thirty-three international experts evaluated the checklist reaching $\geq 80 \%$ agreement for 26 items and $\leq 80 \%$ for 12 . The consensus conference of 12 experts discussed and redefined the 12 items. Twentyfive international experts filled out the web-survey and all items reached a minimum of $80 \%$ agreement except one. The final checklist was reached. Conclusions: This Delphi-like study defined what themes should be included and at what age they need to be addressed with patients with a chronic rheumatology disease and their families during transition. This checklist reached a strong international and interdisciplinary consensus while examining transition in a broad way. It should now be spread widely to health professionals to be used by all those who care for adolescents aged $\geq 12$ years at times of transition. It could be transposed to most chronic conditions. Recommendations for further research are given.
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## 1. Introduction

Transitional care for adolescents with a chronic condition is a growing field of research. It has notably put forward that continu-

[^0]ity of care during adolescence increases the chances for patients to remain integrated in the healthcare system while decreasing risks for long-term poor health outcomes [1]. However, studies have also shown that very low percentages of youths with chronic conditions receive any transition information or preparation from health professionals [2-4]. A Swiss study reported that only half of $14-25$ year olds had discussed their transfer to adult care [5].
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2017.09.003
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Despite the need to improve transitional care for these adolescents, health professionals often lack an established protocol and programs are most often limited to a simple transfer [6]. This lets forth more specific or local initiatives than systematic transition programs. Nonetheless, evidence exists that transition programs do make a difference. For example, rates of successful transition have shown to increase when transition programs are in place [7]; young adults feel better accompanied when they have discussed transition with their pediatric specialist [8]; and young people having gone through transition programs have a higher satisfaction, perceived health status, and emotional and physical quality of life [9,10].

In line with this, recommendations have been published regarding what needs to be part of a transition program in general [11-13]. A few transition checklists for health professionals have been built around the world; for examples, see references [14-16]. However, to our knowledge, no checklist has ever been put together in Switzerland or in France. Moreover, transition can be a long process that needs to start early on in age in pediatric care and finish many years later once established in adult care; thus, there is a need to define what steps entail to be done at what point in time. Different stakeholders also need to be included in transitional care: the medical team/health professionals, the adolescent him/herself, and his/her parents/caregivers. As a result, a structured and concrete checklist is required in order to know how, what, and when the different stakeholders need to do during the transition process.

The aim of this study was to design a transitional care checklist that can be used by and facilitate the work of health professionals in providing transitional care for children with a chronic rheumatologic disease and their families/parents.

## 2. Methods

To build a transitional care checklist for health professionals, a Delphi-like study among an international expert panel was carried out in four steps (Fig. 1). During the first step, a working group of 6 specialists ( 2 pediatric rheumatology doctors, 1 adult rheumatology doctor, 1 transition nurse in rheumatology, 1 family doctor specialized in adolescent health care, 1 pediatrician specialized in adolescent health care) met several times to establish a first draft of a transitional care checklist. They met 5 times until finding a consensus on a first draft of the checklist that included 38 items.

For the second step, the draft checklist was sent as a web-survey to a panel of international experts in rheumatology to evaluate it. Experts were asked to assess each of the 38 items of the checklist according to 7 possible answers:

- "agree, leave it as it is";


Fig. 1. The 4 steps of the Delphi-like study.

- "agree, but needs to be reformulated";
- "agree, but should be moved to another phase";
- "agree, but should be moved to another age";
- "partially disagree";
- "completely disagree, should be removed";
- "other".

Participants could also choose to enter a comment for each possible answer except for the first one ("agree, leave it as is").

The third step consisted in organizing a 2-day consensus conference with an expert panel present to discuss the items that did not reach an agreement in the web-survey. Items that scored less than $70 \%$ agreement during the first survey were discussed, reformulated and re-voted on. The items that scored between $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ during the first survey were discussed briefly to see if they could be improved. Those that had reached an $80 \%$ agreement or above were not re-discussed.

In the fourth and last step, the list of items that had scored less than $80 \%$ during the first survey and reformulated during the consensus meeting was resubmitted as a web-survey to the panel of international experts in rheumatology (the same as for the first survey) with the same 7 possible answers for each item and possibility to leave a comment.

## 3. Results

During the first step, the working group of 6 experts in transition built a first draft of the checklist that included 38 items spread out into 3 phases of transition and 5 age groups indicating when the event should take place:

- a preparation phase divided into 2 age groups: 12-14 years old and 14-16 years old;
- a transfer phase divided into 2 age groups: 16-18 years old and 17-22 years old;
- an engagement phase going from 20-24 years old (Table 1).

During the second step consisting of the first web-survey, 47 international experts in rheumatology or transition were contacted based on the French national network on rare autoimmune and auto-inflammatory diseases (FAI2R) and personal networks. All 47 agreed to participate, and 33 actually answered (70.2\% response rate; 2 were unable to respond, 12 did not respond despite 3 reminders). Experts were 14 pediatricians, 10 rheumatologists, 5 immunologists, 2 nurses, 1 adolescent doctor and 1 patient. In 26 items, we reached $\geq 80 \%$ agreement; in 5 items, $\geq 70 \%$; in 6 $\geq 60 \%$; and in $1 \geq 50 \%$ (Table 1).

The third step, the consensus conference of experts gathered during 2 days 12 health professionals ( 6 pediatric rheumatology, 4 adult rheumatology and 2 adolescent health specialists, among them 1 nurse and 1 physical therapist). During the conference, the 12 items that had reached less than an $80 \%$ consensus during the web-survey ( 5 dubious: $70-79.9 \%$ and 7 disagreed $<70 \%$ ) were discussed. The 12 items were first discussed in small groups to propose wording changes, and then in a plenary session and each item was voted on. In the end, 11 items were reformulated and 1 stayed unchanged (item 3.3) (Table 1). Even though they were not supposed to be re-discussed, some participants suggested slight rewording for better comprehension for 3 out of the 26 items that had reached $\geq 80 \%$ agreement.

In the fourth step, the second survey, the 33 international experts in rheumatology who had answered the first web-survey were sent the list of 12 items that had reached less than an $80 \%$ consensus during the first vote to participate again. Out of the 33 , 27 agreed to answer ( $81.8 \%$ response rate) and 25 filled out the

Table 1
Transitional care checklist process to final agreement.

| Age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Items at baseline (T0) | $1^{\text {st }}$ survey Agreement | Items after consensus meeting (T1) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ survey Agreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-14 | Preparation phase |  |  |  |
|  | First discussion on transition |  | First discussion on transition | Not discussed (ND) |
|  | Discussing the concept of transition and its importance | 81.8\% | Discussing the concept of transition and its |  |
|  | A transition plan is established with milestones |  | A transition plan is established with milestones |  |
|  | Agreeing with patient and parents on a calendar with clear (but flexible) milestones | 75.8\% | Agreeing with patient and parents about the transition process and specific (although flexible and individually adapted) steps to reach autonomy and transit to adult care | 84\% |
|  | The physician starts to see the patient without his parents |  | The physician starts to see the patient without his parents |  |
|  | Patient and parents are (nicely!) informed that the physician will see the patient alone (at least partially) from the next consultation on. Reasoned explanations for it are given | 63.6\% | Patient and parents are given reasoned explanations for the adolescent to be seen alone for at least part of the consultation | 96\% |
|  | Patient is seen (at least partially) alone | 66.7\% | Patient is seen without parents during part of the consultation, mainly to discuss the HEEADSSS questionnaire and feelings regarding the disease, treatment and pain | 84\% |
|  | Physician discusses with parents their new role as transition plan advances | 75.8\% | Physician discusses with parents their new role as transition plan advances <br> Define the expectations (educational/professional) of patient and parents | 90\% |
|  | Define the expectations (educational/professional) of patient and parents |  |  |  |
|  | Define the educational expectations of the patient | 84.8\% | Define the educational expectations of the patient | ND |
|  | Define the professional expectations of the patient | 75.8\% | Start discussing vocational options with the patient Discussion on the effect of legal substances on the disease and treatment | 72\% |
| 14-16 | Discussion on the effect of legal substances on the disease and treatment |  |  |  |
|  | Discussion on the effect of smoking on the disease and its treatment | 84.8\% | Discussion on the effect of smoking on the disease and its treatment | ND |
|  | Discussion on the effect of alcohol use and misuse on the disease and its treatment | 87.9\% | Discussion on the effect of alcohol use and misuse on the disease and its treatment | ND |
|  | Discussion on the effect of illegal substances on the disease and treatment |  | Discussion on the effect of illegal substances on the disease and treatment |  |
|  | Discussion on the effect of cannabis use on the disease and its treatment | 90.9\% | Discussion on the effect of cannabis use on the disease and its treatment | ND |
|  | Discussion on the effect of the use of other illegal drugs on the disease and its treatment | 84.8\% | Discussion on the effect of the use of other illegal drugs on the disease and its treatment <br> Discussion about the effects of the condition on sexuality/fertility/pregnancy and effect of treatment(s) on fertility | ND |
|  | Discussion about the effects of the condition on |  |  |  |
|  | sexuality/fertility/pregnancy and effect of treatment(s) on fertility |  |  |  |
|  | Discussion about the effects of the condition on sexuality/fertility/pregnancy | 75.8\% | Health professional provides information to patient and parents about the effects of the condition and the treatment on sexuality, fertility, and pregnancy | 92\% |
|  | Discussion about the effects of treatment(s) on fertility | 69.7\% | Discussion with patient, but without parents, on the personal aspects/behaviors of the information regarding sexuality, fertility, and pregnancy. Ideally in a second consultation | 88\% |
|  | The patient knows how to react in case of emergency |  | The patient knows how to react in case of emergency |  |
|  | The signs and symptoms to rush to the ER are explained to the patient so that s/he knows how to react | 87.9\% | The signs and symptoms to rush to the ER are explained to the patient so that s/he knows how to react | ND |
|  | The signs and symptoms to consult (but not to the | 84.8\% | The signs and symptoms to consult (but not to the | ND |
|  | $E R$ ) are explained to the patient so that $s /$ he knows |  | $E R$ ) are explained to the patient so that s/he knows |  |
|  | how to react |  | how to react |  |
|  | The differences between pediatric and adult care are explained |  | The differences between pediatric and adult care are explained |  |
|  | Explain the different approaches noted globally between pediatric and adult care | 81.8\% | Explain the different approaches noted globally between pediatric and adult care | ND |
|  | If this is the case, explain the different approaches noted between pediatric and adult care the patient will be referred to | 81.8\% | If this is the case, explain the different approaches noted between pediatric and adult care the patient will be referred to | ND |
|  | The patient is able to describe his disease |  | The patient is able to describe his disease |  |
|  | Patient can accurately describe her/his disease in a few sentences | 87.9\% | Patient can accurately describe her/his disease in a few sentences | ND |
|  | The patient is able to describe his treatment |  | The patient is able to describe his treatment |  |
|  | Patient can describe her/his medication and what they are used for | 90.9\% | Patient can describe her/his medication and what they are used for <br> The patient is able to book his/her own consultations | ND |
|  | The patient is able to book his/her own consultations |  |  |  |
|  | Patient knows the process of booking a consultation | 69.7\% | Empower the patient to be able to contact the transition manager in case of need <br> All previous steps were completed | 84\% |
|  | All previous steps were completed |  |  |  |
|  | Physician makes sure that all elements above are acquired (or makes a list of those that need to be acquired later on to inform the adult physician) | 93.9\% | Physician makes sure that all elements above are acquired (or makes a list of those that need to be acquired later on to inform the adult physician) | ND |

Table 1
(Continued)

| Age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Items at baseline (T0) | $1^{\text {st }}$ survey <br> Agreement | Items after consensus meeting (T1) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ survey <br> Agreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transfer readiness test |  | Transfer readiness test |  |
|  | A transition readiness test (to be chosen) is given to patient and parents to be sure of their readiness to be transferred | 69.7\% | A standardized transition readiness assessment is given to patient and parents to evaluate their readiness to be transferred | 80\% |
| 17-20 | Transfer phase |  |  |  |
| 16-18 | Identification of adult care representative |  | Identification of adult care representative |  |
|  | Pediatric provider identifies an adult provider according to the preferences/characteristics of the patient (and family) | 87.9\% | Pediatric provider identifies an adult provider according to the preferences/characteristics of the patient (and family) | ND |
|  | Set-up the transfer protocol |  | Set-up the transfer protocol |  |
|  | A transfer protocol between pediatric and adult providers is set up (or adapted to the needs if protocol already exists) | 90.9\% | A transfer protocol between pediatric and adult providers is set up (or adapted to the needs if protocol already exists) | ND |
|  | First contact with the adult team |  | First contact with the adult team |  |
|  | A first contact (could be by phone and could not be needed if \#17.2 is possible) is made with the adult team | 87.9\% | A first contact (could be by phone and could not be needed if a common consultation is possible) is made with the adult team | ND |
|  | If possible, at least one common pediatric/adult consultation is done | 81.8\% | If possible, at least one common pediatric/adult consultation is done | ND |
| 17-22 | First consultation in adult care |  | First consultation in adult care |  |
|  | A first consultation alone in adult care is made | 75.8\% | A first consultation with the adult team is made (other than a common one with pediatrics) | 88\% |
|  | Feed-back on the first consultation |  | Feed-back on the first consultation |  |
|  | Feedback on the first consultation from patient and parents is given to the pediatric team to assure that everything went well and as expected | 66.7\% | Standardized feedback (could be online) of the first consultation in adult care (not a common consultation) from the patient (and parents if needed/wanted) should be given to the transition manager | 84\% |
|  | Second/final consultation in adult care |  | Second/final consultation in adult care |  |
|  | A second consultation alone in adult care is made Feed-back on the second consultation | 87.9\% | A second consultation in adult care is made Feed-back on the second consultation | ND |
|  | Feedback on the second consultation from patient and parents is given to the pediatric team to assure that everything went well and as expected | 54.5\% | Feedback on the second consultation from patient and parents is given to the pediatric team to assure that the adherence of patient and his/her family to the adult consultation is satisfactory | 80\% |
|  | Engagement phase |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | Help the patient acquire more autonomy |  | Help the patient acquire more autonomy |  |
|  | Discussion with patient about needs to become more autonomous in her/his daily needs | 81.8\% | Discussion with patient about needs to become more autonomous in her/his daily needs | ND |
|  | Establish means to increase patient's autonomy according to needs | 87.9\% | Establish means to increase patient's autonomy according to needs | ND |
|  | Regular follow-up in adult care (2 check-up consultations or more scheduled) |  | Regular follow-up in adult care (2 check-up consultations or more scheduled) |  |
|  | Making sure that a regular checkup consultation schedule is set up | 90.9\% | Making sure that a regular check-up consultation schedule is set up | ND |
|  | Making sure that consultations are not missed (especially if not re-scheduled) | 93.9\% | Making sure that consultations are not missed (especially if not re-scheduled) |  |
|  | Discussion about health insurance |  | Discussion about health insurance |  |
|  | Discussing health insurance options (if needed) Completion of transition plan | 87.9\% | Discussing health insurance options (if needed) Completion of transition plan | ND |
|  | Patient has a clear educational/professional plan | 81.8\% | Patient has a clear educational/professional plan | ND |
|  | Patient is at ease with self-management | 93.9\% | Patient is at ease with self-management | ND |
|  | Patient is sufficiently autonomous to take care of her/his daily needs | 93.9\% | Patient is sufficiently autonomous to take care of her/his daily needs | ND |

 post-consensus meeting items and agreement percentages. Percentages in bold are those that reached $\geq 70 \%$ consensus.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Proposed ages are only indicative and depend on the level of maturity and development of each patient.
questionnaire (2 left it blank). The 3 items that had been reworded slightly for better comprehension were not re-voted on as they had already reached $\mathrm{a} \geq 80 \%$ agreement. At this point, all items reached a minimum of $80 \%$ agreement except for 1 item (item 4.2) that reached a $72 \%$ agreement. For the latter, $24 \%$ agreed on the item but thought it should be moved to another age - which should be later according to comments - and $4 \%$ agreed with the item but thought it should be reformulated (data not shown). However, no one wished to have it removed. Likewise, no item was removed at any point. At the end of the fourth step, the final checklist was reached.

## 4. Discussion

This Delphi-like study was a four-step process to build a transitional care checklist with the help of an international panel of experts in the field. Given the overall high percentages of agreement, this checklist appears to reach a high consensus among experts. As a result, it defined what themes should be included and at what age they need to be addressed with patients with a chronic rheumatology disease and their families during transition.

Concerning age, there was not much discussion at any step of the process on when different subjects need to be brought up with
patients and there was an overall high agreement in this regard. The only exception concerned item 4.2 which stayed similar to the first round going from $75.8 \%$ to $72 \%$ agreement. Indeed, this lower percentage seemed to come mainly from a lack of consensus regarding at what age the vocational issues need to be discussed. We know that future professional life of adolescents with chronic conditions is a delicate subject that parents often forget to consider early on [17] or that do not consider at all as overprotection is a common reaction among these parents [18,19]. It is also known that these youths are often not pushed to their full potential and they are less likely to reach the same professional milestones than their peers [20]. Given the difficulty of handling this issue in families, there could also be a worry among health professional about raising the issue too early. This is surprising in countries like Switzerland or France where 16 years is often too late to start thinking about future professional life: mandatory school goes up to age 15 , after which about one third of adolescents follow high-school and two-thirds vocational education. The latter need to find an apprenticeship where they are enrolled by companies to train for their future profession and attend class at vocational schools only 1-2 days a week. Hence, given the national context and knowing that youths with chronic conditions have lower odds of graduating from high school [20] or college [21] and being employed [20-22], and higher odds of having a lower income [21] and receiving public assistance [20,21], health professionals need to address the professional matter early on.

The 12 items that brought up the most disagreement and discussion and that were re-voted on in the second web survey seemed to have in common to be too vague. Indeed, 11 of them were reworded to be more precise regarding their meaning. We can thus conclude that efforts were made among the professionals present at the consensus conference to define a checklist that is as concrete, usable, and understandable by all professionals, avoiding as much as possible items that might be unclear or confusing in daily clinical practice. The second vote setting all percentages above $80 \%$ confirmed its success.

Consensus-based recommendations for transitional care that exist, whether for young people with chronic conditions in general [11] or with juvenile-onset rheumatic diseases specifically [12] reinforce our findings in three major ways. First, in terms of the importance of the coordination between pediatric and adult professionals and of using transition policies and tools were the reasons for establishing such a checklist. Second, starting in early adolescence corresponds to the reason why the checklist starts at age 12. Third, communication between pediatric and adult health professionals which corresponds to items 15 to 25 on our checklist. The commonalities between these studies and our findings put forth a strong need for concrete tools that could be used on a daily clinical base such as this checklist to ameliorate transitional care.

This checklist reaches a strong international and interdisciplinary consensus and examines transition in a broad way. It should now be spread widely to health professionals in order to be used as much as possible by all those who care for adolescents at times of transition starting at age 12. Although it was thought out to be used in rheumatology, it seems possible to be transposed to most chronic conditions. There are no disease specific aspects in this checklist and it can ameliorate the care of 12-24-year-old patients with chronic conditions and their families.

Further research should thus go two ways. First, future studies must validate the checklist considering feasibility in a noncategorical approach to chronic conditions in daily clinical practice. This ought to be done with health professionals coming from different medical specialties (for example endocrinologists, pulmonologists, gastroenterologists, etc.) of pediatric and adult medicine. Moreover, the checklist needs to be evaluated by adolescents and parents to make sure nothing was forgotten. Second, further
research needs to assess the effectiveness of the checklist on young adults' health and transition outcomes. This can confirm the importance of a structured transition policy by using such a transition checklist in order to improve many current problems present after patient transfer to adult medical care such as poor clinical outcomes [23], lost at follow-up rates, hospitalization admissions [24], decline in health status [25], emotional and physical quality of life [9,10], and education and employment [21].

## Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Foundation Rhumatismes-Enfants-Suisse, Roche, Pfizer and Novartis to organize the consensus conference; Christina Akre was supported by the Subvention Tremplin from the Equality office of the University of Lausanne. We would like to thank all experts who dedicated of their time and competence to participate in the Delphi-like study.

## References

[1] Rea R. Transitional care for young people with diabetes: a national registry is urgently needed. Pract Diabetes 2014;31:237-42
[2] Wong LH, Chan FW, Wong FY, et al. Transition care for adolescents and families with chronic illnesses. J Adolesc Health 2010;47:540-6.
[3] McManus MA, Pollack LR, Cooley WC, et al. Current status of transition preparation among youth with special needs in the United States. Pediatrics 2013;131:1090-7.
[4] Scal P, Horvath K, Garwick A. Preparing for adulthood: health care transition counseling for youth with arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61:52-7.
[5] Rutishauser C, Akre C, Suris JC. Transition from pediatric to adult health care: expectations of adolescents with chronic disorders and their parents. Eur J Pediatr 2011;170:865-71.
[6] Suris JC, Akre C, Rutishauser C. How adult specialists deal with the principles of a successful transition. J Adolesc Health 2009;45:551-5.
[7] Andemariam B, Owarish-Gross J, Grady J, et al. Identification of risk factors for an unsuccessful transition from pediatric to adult sickle cell disease care. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;61:697-701.
[8] Suris JC, Rutishauser C, Akre C. [Does talking about it make a difference? Opinions of chronically ill young adults after being transferred to adult care]. Arch Pediatr 2015;22:267-71
[9] Cramm JM, Strating MM, Sonneveld HM, et al. The longitudinal relationship between satisfaction with transitional care and social and emotional quality of life among chronically ill adolescents. Appl Res Qual Life 2013;8:481-91.
[10] Chaudhry SR, Keaton M, Nasr SZ. Evaluation of a cystic fibrosis transition program from pediatric to adult care. Pediatr Pulmonol 2013;48:658-65.
[11] Suris JC, Akre C. Key elements for, and indicators of, a successful transition: an international Delphi study. J Adolesc Health 2015;56:612-8.
[12] Foster HE, Minden K, Clemente D, et al. EULAR/PReS standards and recommendations for the transitional care of young people with juvenile-onset rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:639-46.
[13] Fair C, Cuttance J, Sharma N, et al. International and interdisciplinary identification of health care transition outcomes. JAMA Pediatr 2016;170:205-11.
[14] White PH, Ardoin S. Transitioning wisely: improving the connection from pediatric to adult health care. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:789-94.
[15] Gold A, Martin K, Breckbill K, et al. Transition to adult care in pediatric solid-organ transplant: development of a practice guideline. Prog Transpl 2015;25:131-8.
[16] Vaks Y, Bensen R, Steidtmann D, et al. Better health, less spending: redesigning the transition from pediatric to adult healthcare for youth with chronic illness. Healthc (Amst) 2016;4:57-68.
[17] Akre C, Ramelet AS, Berchtold A, et al. Educational intervention for parents of adolescents with chronic illness: a pre-post test pilot study. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2015;27:261-9.
[18] Holmbeck GN, Johnson SZ, Wills KE, et al. Observed and perceived parental overprotection in relation to psychosocial adjustment in preadolescents with a physical disability: the mediational role of behavioral autonomy. J Consult Clin Psychol 2002;70:96-110.
[19] Power TG, Dahlquist LM, Thompson SM, et al. Interactions between chil dren with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and their mothers. J Pediatr Psychol 2003;28:213-21.
[20] Maslow GR, Haydon AA, Ford CA, et al. Young adult outcomes of children growing up with chronic illness: an analysis of the National longitudinal study of adolescent health. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2011;165:256-61.

## 6 Model

[21] Maslow GR, Haydon A, McRee AL, et al. Growing up with a chronic illness: social success, educational/vocational distress. J Adolesc Health 2011;49:206-12.
22] Hummel TZ, Tak E, Maurice-Stam H, et al. Psychosocial developmental trajectory of adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2013;57:219-24.
[23] Cooley WC. Adolescent health care transition in transition. JAMA Pediatr 2013;167:897-9
[24] de Montalembert M, Guitton C. French reference centre for sickle cell D. Transition from paediatric to adult care for patients with sickle cell disease. Br J Haematol 2014;164:630-5.
[25] Okumura MJ, Hersh AO, Hilton JF, et al. Change in health status and access to care in young adults with special health care needs: results from the 2007 national survey of adult transition and health. J Adolesc Health 2013;52 413-8.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

    E-mail address: Christina.Akre@chuv.ch (C. Akre).

